This article was downloaded by: On: 19 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

To cite this Article Kahn, Herbert L.(1973) 'The Determination of Metallic Elements in Wastes and Waters with the Graphite Furnace', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 3: 2, 121 — 131 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067317308071073

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067317308071073>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

The Determination **of** Metallic Elements in Wastes and Waters with the Graphite Furnace⁺

HERBERT L. KAHN

The Perkin-Elmer Corporation, Main Avenue. Norwalk. Conn. 06856, U.S.A.

(Received September 18.1972)

KEY **WORDS:** Graphite furnace, trace metals, wastes, water, atomic absorption spectroscopy.

The well-known technique of flame atomic absorption has the advantages of **being** easy to use, easy to interpret, and having **good** detection **limits.** It is unfortunate but true that the levels of metallic elements in many types of waters **are** easily **high** enough to be directly analyzed by the flame. However, in many other *cases,* better detectabilities are required. **The** HGA-2OOO Graphite **Furnace** provides an improvement in detection limits up to **lo00** times compared to the flame, and many samples *can* be analyzed with **no** pre-treatment. Natural waters are determined particularly easily **by** this technique; **sea** *water,* **because** of its high salt content **and** low **trace** metal content, presents more difficulty but *can* **also** be analyzed. The high sensitivity of the furnace **also makes** it suitable for the determination of metallic elements in **air** samples, because only a limited amount of **air necd** be impinged for **a** measurement. Elements *can* be determined in some *solids,* such **as** hairs, and in **oil** spills to help identify the **source.**

INTRO DU CTlON

The technique for the determination of metallic elements by flame **atomic** absorption **is** now well known and well established. The method has many advantages, which **need** not be detailed here. However, like **all** analytical techniques, flame atomic absorption leaves room for **certain** improvements. **Among** the additional virtues that could **be** desired are greater analytical

[†] Presented at the Symposium on Recent Advances in the Analytical Chemistry of Pollutants, **Halifax, N.S.,** August 23-25, **1972.**

sensitivity and the ability to analyze solid samples without the **need** for prior dissolution.

Many devices have been used to increase the sensitivity of the atomic absorption method. The conventional burner is relatively wasteful of sample solution; therefore, Venghiattis,¹ among others, has developed burners with 'pre-heated' mixing chambers and increased the proportion of the sample which reaches the flame. The residence time of sample atoms in the optical beam has been increased by guiding the flame down a long tube,² A 'sampling boat', in which the sample is dried in a tantalum boat which is then placed into a flame,³ has been used with some success for volatile elements, as has a more recent modification⁴ in which the tantalum boat is replaced by a nickel cup. The recent emphasis **on** the determination of mercury has led to the development of a number of special-purpose cold-vapor devices, all of which are based more or less **on** the work of Hatch and **Ott.5** Specialized sample pre-treatment systems have been developed for arsenic and selenium.⁶

An effort to analyze solid samples directly was made by Venghiattis,⁷ who mixed the powdered samples with **gun** powder and ignited the system in the sample beam of an atomic absorption instrument. His "SolidMix" sampling system had brief though spectacular life.

Several of these systems $3-6$ are in commercial production and current use.

In the present work, attention will be confined to a general-purpose device, the Graphite Furnace, which has had its genesis in the work of L' vov, 8 Massmann,⁹ and Woodriff and Stone.¹⁰

OPERATION OF THE GRAPHITE FURNACE

The principle of the Graphite Furnace is shown in Figure **1.** A hollow graphite cylinder, approx. *50* mm long and 10 mm in diameter, is placed **so** that the sample beam passes through it. **In** the middle of the cylinder, at the top, there is a hole approx. 2 mm in diameter. Samples in solution, from less than $1 \mu l$ to $100 \mu l$, are pipetted through the hole into the cylinder. Solid samples **can** be introduced through the open ends of the cylinder, after the hinged furnace assembly is tilted forward (Figure 2). An electric current is then passed through the cylinder walls in three stages. First **a** low current is used to *dry* the sample. **Then** *an* intermediate current is applied, which chars or ashes the sample. Finally, a high current is applied, causing the cylinder to be heated to incandescence and the sample to be atomized.

The cylinder is protected from oxidation by a constant flow of an inert **gas,** most commonly nitrogen. The hollow metal jacket surrounding the cylinder is water-cooled. **A** given amount of sample gives a much higher absorption in the furnace **than** it would in a burner. There are **two** reasons for the

FIGURE 2 Solid sample is introduced *into* **end of furnace,**

B

÷.

difference. In **a** burner, **a** large proportion of the sample flows down the drain, while the furnace is nearly 100% efficient. Also, the sample atoms remain in the furnace for one second or more, whereas in the burner they leave the optical path in a small fraction of a second. As a result, the Graphite Furnace *can* determine most metallic elements 10oO times better than a conventional flame (Table I).

*Furnace detection limits are based on 100-µl sample.
Flame limits were obtained with Perkin-Elmer Model 403
Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

Equipment based **on** the furnace principle **has** been used for **several** years. However, until quite recently the use of flameless sampling was regarded as **being** in the nature of research, because of various difficulties atteadant upon its operation. Newer equipment now makes such operations more routine. A **control system** for the furnace, which incorporates **a** considexable degree of automation, appears in Figure 3. The **drying, charring, and** atomization currents follow each other automatically, at the touch of a single button. The proper currents for an individual analysis are *set* by the operator, with the help of a meter scale calibrated directly in furnace **temperature.** The durations of the individual stages are **also** under the operator's **control.**

A typical analysis, for chromium in aqueous **solutions,** is *carried* out according to the analytical conditions in Table **II.** The total **analysis** time for the sample can be seen to be 50 sec. Upon completion of the analysis, **the tube** takes **no** more than 30 **sec to cool** down in preparation for the next

FIGURE 3 Control system for Perkin-Elmer HGA-2OOO Graphite Furnace.

FIGURE 4 Replicate determinations of 20 μ g/1 chromium in water.

sample. It is therefore easily possible to analyze 30 samples per hour. Recorder tracings for the repetitive determination of $20 \mu g/l$ chromium appear in Figure 4. The sample size was 100μ . The coefficient of variation is approx. 2^o_{ce}. Since the detection limit of atomic absorption for chromium is about *3pg/l* **when** a conventional burner **is used,** the advantage **of** a furnace for small sample concentrations is clearly illustrated.

It is possible to increase the sensitivity even further for some elements by **arresting the flow of inert purge** *gas* at the moment **of** atomization. If the purge *gas* is **allowed** to **flow** continuously, it **has a** tendency **to** sweep the **sample** out *of* the cylinder, thereby reducing the analytical sensitivity. It has beep Eound that if the **flow** of purge *gas* **is restarted** within **10** sec of being cut off, no damage is sustained by the graphite cylinder. Figure 5 shows recorder tracings for aluminum with and Without *'gas* interrupt'. The improvement in sensitivity for this element is **a** factor **of more than** three.

 $\xi \rightarrow$

FIGURE 5 Determination of *2.5* **ng of aluxninum, A. without and B. with interrupted gas flow.**

THE ANALYSIS OF FRESH WATER FOR POLLUTANT ELEMENTS

It is not considered difficult to analyze fresh waters for metallic elements by atomic absorption, even if the expected metallic concentrations are **below** instrumental detection limits. **In** general, a straightforward, non-specific extraction-concentration procedure is used.¹¹ A sample of perhaps 100 ml is acidified to the proper value, then shaken with a chelating agent and perhaps 10 **ml** of an organic solvent, such as methylisobutyl ketone. Most of the metallic elements go into the organic phase, yielding a ten-fold increase in concentration and leaving behind the *alkali* metals, aIkaline earths, and most anions. Furthermore, the organic solvent itself yields about a *three*fold improvement in analytical sensitivity. The total effect of the chemical procedure is therefore to improve the detectability of a given element by about 30 times.

Despite the simplicity of the chelating technique, it is clearly preferable to **be** able **to** analyze the sample directly without any sort of sample treatment. We analyzed the waters from a river flowing past our factory, as well as from a nearby lake, by both techniques, with the results shown in Table **111.** It is **seen** that the correlation is quite good.

Element	Lake*		River [®]	
	HGA	Flame	HGA	Flame
Cr	1.8	$\mathbf{2}$	2.2	$\mathbf{2}$
Cu	0.7	1	0.4	
Mn	2.7	3	1.3	
Pb	1.8	2	2.7	3
Zn	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2

TABLE III Analysis of lake and river water

 A ^{*x*} **Concentrations** in μ g/**l**.

THE ANALYSIS OF SEA WATER

The analysis of sea water with the Graphite Furnace gives rise to some additional problems. The **high** concentration of NaCI **can** produce very great non-specific background absorption during the atomization stage, which will mask the much smaller absorption from the element of interest. Segar and Gonzalez¹² have done experiments concerning the previous volatilization

of the salt matrix, but have found that the metals of interest are co-volatilized to a very great extent. They therefore used an extraction procedure similar to that discussed above, which leaves behind the sodium chloride matrix. The advantage of the Graphite Furnace for sea-water analysis is its ability to detect very low concentrations in relatively **small** samples **(on** the order of **10 ml),** replacing the previous need to operate **on** very great sample quantities (one liter or more).

In this paper,¹² the authors note, that the smooth graphite cylinder (shown in Figure **1)** makes it difficult to accommodate large quantities of an organic solvent because the low surface tension of the solution causes it to spread across the floor of the cylinder and run out the ends. They therefore back-extracted the elements of interest from the organic solvent into an aqueous medium.

FIGURE 6 Cross-section of grooved graphite cylinder. Sample remains in center during drying and charring steps.

Of late, a different **tube** configuration has become available, with a shape as shown in the cross-section of Figure 6. **This** shape has two advantages: a more even distribution of temperature along the length of the tube, and the ability to retain the sample at the point when it is pipetted. With the new tube, it has been found that the back-extraction step is **no** longer necessary, and the organic solvents can be analyzed directly.

LEAD IN AIR

The determination of metallic particulates in air by atomic absorption is well known.¹³ When very low concentrations are to be determined, one limitation of the conventional method is that a considerable volume of air must be impinged, requiring long sampling times. With the improved sensitivity available from the furnace, much shorter sampling times *can* be used. **To** analyze the air of Oslo, Norway, Omang14 found it sufficient to aspirate *air* for **only 10 min.** He used a portable pump calibrated to **2-2.7** dm3 air/ **min, collected on** to 37-mm diameter MF-Millipore filters **AA** *(0.8* micron), made of *mixed* cellulose esters. He soaked the filters in **2.0 ml** of 1 + 1 nitric acid in a **50-ml** beaker under gentle heat. After *5* **min,** the solution was decanted into a 10-ml volumetric **flask,** and the filters were washed with successive 2-ml portions of warm distilled water. Finally, distilled water was added to volume. The estimated detection limit was 0.2μ g lead per cubic meter.

THE ANALYSIS OF SOLID MATERIALS: LEAD IN HAIR

The analysis of hair for heavy metals has been of importance for many reasons. With the Graphite Furnace, it has recently **been** found possible to obtain detailed data on the exposure of human beings to lead by measuring **the** lead content of their hair one centimeter at a time.

FIGURE 7 Lead concentration variation along the length of two different hairs from **the same female head. Each** data *point in* **the figure represents a lead analysis on the precediig 1** *cm* section **of** hair.

Figure 7 shows the lead concentration variation along the length of two different hairs from the same female head. The authors conclude that lead has entered the hair by deposits on its surface, followed by diffusion into the hair, The hair was analyzed directly in the Graphite Furnace after being washed in diethyl ether in a Soxhlet apparatus.

Other materials, such as plastics and paper, **can** also be **analyzed** with little or no sample preparation.¹⁶

OIL SPILLS

Among the analytical techniques used to identify the sources of oil spills are the determination **of** the concentrations and ratios of various metalIic elements in the oil, such **as** vanadium, nickel, chromium, iron, and copper. **l7**

130 H. L. KAHN

Very often, when a sufficient amount of sample is available, flame atomic absorption is sufficiently sensitive. The crude oil sample need only be diluted in an organic solvent such as **MlBK** to enable it to pass through the nebulizer capillary. When low levels are to be detected, or the available sample is limited, the use of the Graphite Furnace yields an improvement in sensitivity.¹⁸

BACKGROUND CORRECTION

Earlier in this paper, reference was made to non-specific background absorption. **This** occurs to a greater **or** lesser extent in many analyses **performed** with flameless sampling devices, where smoke or extraneous particulates produce absorption which is not caused by the element of interest. Moderate levels of background absorption **can** be removed by the use of an instrumental device called the Deuterium Background Corrector,¹⁹ detailed discussion of which is beyond the scope of this article. When background correction is used, it is impartant that it occurs at the same analytical line as is used for the element of interest, that it occurs simultaneously with the analysis **of** the sample, and that the source of background radiation is as bright as possible, preferably a deuterium arc.

The use of background correction at a different wavelength, at a different time, or with a weak emission source, is likely to lead to analytical error.

THE PLACE OF THE BURNER

Despite the desirable qualities of the Graphite Furnace, the conventional atomic absorption burner retains suficient advantages for many analyses and its use should be continued in most laboratories. With the burner, precision of about 0.3 % of the amount present *can* be achieved, compared to **1-5** % with the furnace. The burner should be used to analyze solutions where **maximum** sensitivity is not required **or** when sample volume is not limited, **as** the **risk** of sample contamination is less, speed is higher, and the burner is easier to use. Furthermore, the determination by the burner **can** be made directly in concentration **on** digits, whereas the Graphite Furnace requires measurement of peaks in a recorder. The Graphite Furnace therefore represents a powerful complement to a conventional premix burner, but not a replacement for it.

References

1. A. A. Venghiattis, *Appl. Opt.* 7, 1313 (1968).

- **2. K. Fuwa and B. L. Vallee,** *Anal. Chm.* **35,942 (1963).**
- **3. H. L.** Kahn, **G. E. Peterson, and J. E. Schallis,** *At. Absorption* **Newslett. 7,35 (1968).**
- **4. H. T. Delves,** *Analyst (London)* **95,431 (1970).**
- *5.* **W. R. Hatch and W. L. Ott,** *Anal. Chem.* **40,2085 (1968).**
- **6. F. J. Femandez and D. C. Manning,** *At. Absorption* **Newslett. 10,86 (1971).**
- **7. A. A. Venghiattis,** *Spectrochim. Aeta* **23B, 67 (1967).**
- **8. B. V. L'vov,** *Spectrochim. Aeta* **17,761 (1961).**
- **9. H. Massmann,** *Spectrochim. Aeta* **23B, 215 (1968) (in German).**
- **10. R. Woodriff and R. W. Stone,** *Appl. Opt.* **7,1337 (1968).**
- **11. J.** Nix **and T. Goodwin,** *At. Absorption* **Newslett. 9,119 (1970).**
- **12. D. A.** Segarand **J. G. Gonzalez,** *Anal. Chim. Aeta* **58,7 (1972).**
- **13. R. J. Thompson, G. B. Morgan, and L. J. Purdue,** *At. Absorption Newslett* **9,53 (1970).**
- **14. S. H. Omang,** *Anal. Chim. Aeta* **55,439 (1971).**
- **15. C. D. Renshaw, C. A. Pounds, and E. F. Pearson,** *Nature (London)* **238,162 (1972).**
- **16. J. D. Kerber and G. E. Peterson, Paper presented at Pittsburgh Conference, Cleveland, Ohio (March 7,1972).**
- **17. R. A. Stuart and R. D. Branch,** *Perkin-Elmer Instr.* **News21,1(1970).**
- **18.** *N. Oddo, Riu.* **Combwtibili25,153 (1971)** (inItalian).
- **19. H. L.** *Kahn* **and D. C. Manning,** *Amer. Lab.* **(August 1972).**